**Claude.ai Prompt for a rubric**

**Can you develop a rubric to evaluate this assignment "Identify a need an LLM, such as ChatGPT or another tool such as Bard, can answer and journal through the prompt improvement process. Identify how and why you modified each iteration of the prompt until you generated your optimal data."**

**Claude’s response:**

Here is a rubric that could be used to evaluate the assignment you described:

Criteria | Exceeds Expectations | Meets Expectations | Needs Improvement ---|---|--- Identifying Need | Identifies a compelling, realistic need an LLM can provide insight on | Identifies an appropriate need an LLM can assist with | Need identified is unclear or not well-suited for an LLM Prompt Iterations | Provides 3+ iterations of prompt with clear modifications and reasoning | Provides 2 prompt iterations with adequate modifications | Only 1 prompt iteration provided or iterations lack clear modifications Prompt Improvement | Each iteration builds effectively on the previous version and clearly improves prompt quality | Prompt improved from original to final version with some development in between | Little improvement apparent between prompt iterations LLM Interaction | Journals an authentic conversation illustrating a deep engagement with the LLM | Documents reasonable exchanges with LLM as prompt is refined | Limited interaction with LLM demonstrated in journal Reflection | Provides thoughtful analysis of what was learned through the prompt improvement process | Shares reflection on prompt writing process | Minimal reflection on the process provided Writing Quality | Journal is well-organized and carefully written with no errors | Journal is organized adequately with few errors | Journal lacks organization or contains multiple writing errors

This rubric evaluates key aspects like generating iterations, improving prompts, interacting with the LLM, reflecting on the process, and overall writing quality. The criteria can be adjusted as needed to align with your specific learning goals. Let me know if you have any other questions!

**Second Prompt: Could you please put that into a table format for me?**

Here is the rubric in a table format:

| **Criteria** | **Exceeds Expectations** | **Meets Expectations** | **Needs Improvement** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Identifying Need | Identifies a compelling, realistic need an LLM can provide insight on | Identifies an appropriate need an LLM can assist with | Need identified is unclear or not well-suited for an LLM |
| Prompt Iterations | Provides 3+ iterations of prompt with clear modifications and reasoning | Provides 2 prompt iterations with adequate modifications | Only 1 prompt iteration provided or iterations lack clear modifications |
| Prompt Improvement | Each iteration builds effectively on the previous version and clearly improves prompt quality | Prompt improved from original to final version with some development in between | Little improvement apparent between prompt iterations |
| LLM Interaction | Journals an authentic conversation illustrating a deep engagement with the LLM | Documents reasonable exchanges with LLM as prompt is refined | Limited interaction with LLM demonstrated in journal |
| Reflection | Provides thoughtful analysis of what was learned through the prompt improvement process | Shares reflection on prompt writing process | Minimal reflection on the process provided |
| Writing Quality | Journal is well-organized and carefully written with no errors | Journal is organized adequately with few errors | Journal lacks organization or contains multiple writing errors |

Let me know if you would like me to modify or expand on this rubric table in any way. I'm happy to clarify or adjust it to better fit your needs.